# MINUTES FOR THE COURT OF APPEAL STATE OF CALIFORNIA SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

### May 9, 2008

### **DIVISION ONE**

B204176 Los Angeles County, D.C.S. (Not for Publication)

v.

Anastasia F.

The orders are affirmed.

Vogel, J.

We concur: Mallano, Acting P.J.

Rothschild, J.

#### <u>DIVISION FOUR</u>

Court convened at 9:00 a.m.

Present: Epstein, P.J., Willhite, J., Manella, J., Suzukawa, J. and V. Guzman, Deputy Clerk.

### Each of the following:

B200674 Amirkhanian v. Kassa

B196987 County of Los Angeles v. Ranger Insurance Company

B199209 People v. Bodian

B175135 People v. Stong et al.

B195457 People v. Blagg

B198076 People v. Williams and Lawson

B203132 DCFS v. Amanda G.

B198829 Miranda et al. v. Inglewood Unified School District et al.

Argument waived, cause submitted.

### **DIVISION FOUR (continued)**

B194839 People

v.

Gomez

Merits:

Argued by William L. Heyman for appellant and by Roy C. Preminger, deputy attorney general for respondent. Cause submitted.

B195889 Premier Medical Management Systems

v.

California Insurance Guarantee Association

Merits:

Argued by Douglas D. Winter for appellants, by William S. Davis for respondent CIGA, by John Poulos for respondent Pacific Secured Equities, Inc. and by Clifford D. Sweet for respondents Insurance Company of the West et al. Cause submitted.

B196601 Turko

v.

Ashkenazi

Merits:

Argued by Kyle Kveton for appellant and by Jeffrey B. Bohrer for respondent. Cause submitted.

B195377 Brownstein

v.

Hagaman et al.

Merits:

Argued by Jeffrey L. Licht for appellant and by George T. McDonnell for respondents. Cause submitted.

# **DIVISION FOUR (continued)**

B198235 Stahl et al.

V.

Acuna et al.

Merits:

Argued by Mark M. O'Brien for appellants and by Brian Von Esch for respondents. Cause submitted.

Court recessed.

Court reconvened at 1:30 p.m.

Present: Epstein, P.J., Willhite, J., Manella, J., Suzukawa, J. and V. Guzman, Deputy Clerk.

B199454 Bellflower Unified School District

v.

**Bellflower Education Association** 

Merits:

Argued by Eric Bathen for appellant and by Amanda Canning for respondent. Cause submitted.

B195216 Klein

v.

Saturn Property Management, Inc.

Merits:

Argued by Joel S. Spivak for appellant and by Timothy R. Hanigan for respondent. Cause submitted.

# **DIVISION FOUR (continued)**

B199984 Willens

v.

Kohan

Merits:

Argued by Michael L. Novicoff for appellant and by Glen L. Kulik for respondent. Cause submitted.

B193249 Byers et al.

v.

Los Angeles World Airports et al.

Merits:

Argued by Paul Sigelman for appellants, by Jennifer K. Stinnett for respondents Los Angeles World Airports and by Patrick E. Naughton for respondent Peterson-Chase. Cause submitted.

Court Adjourned.

B191764 Quik Pick Express, Inc et al.

V.

Quik Pick Express, LLC et al.

Filed order denying petition for rehearing.

# **DIVISION FIVE**

B201009 People (Not for Publication)

v.

Alvaro C.

The judgment is affirmed.

Armstrong, J.

We concur: Turner, P.J.

Mosk, J.

B199853 People (Not for Publication)

v.

**Antwaion Robinson** 

The judgment is modified to reflect the imposition of three court security fees. The judgment is affirmed in all other respects.

Turner, P.J.

We concur: Armstrong, J.

Kriegler, J.

B201074 People (Not for Publication)

v.

Johnny Lieu

The judgment is modified to reflect the imposition of 10 court security fees. The judgment is affirmed in all other respects.

Turner, P.J.

We concur: Armstrong, J.

Mosk, J.

### **DIVISION FIVE (continued)**

B199153 People (Not for Publication)

v.

**Angel Contreras** 

The judgment is affirmed.

Armstrong, Acting P.J.

We concur: Mosk, J.

Kriegler, J.

### **DIVISION SEVEN**

B192656 Wolf et al., (Certified for Publication)

v.

Walt Disney Pictures and Television et al.,

The judgment is reversed to the extent it is based on an improper interpretation of the term "Purchaser" in the 1983 Agreement, and the matter is remanded for further proceedings not inconsistent with this opinion. On remand the trial court should consider what impact, if any, our interpretation of the term "Purchaser" has on the other issues in the case, including the court's determination of the prevailing party. Each party is to bear his and its own costs on appeal.

Perluss, P.J.

We concur: Woods, J.

Zelon, J.